



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban
Development
451 Seventh Street, SW
Washington, DC 20410
www.hud.gov

espanol.hud.gov

**Environmental Review for Activity/Project that is Categorically
Excluded Subject to Section 58.5
Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)**

Project Information

Project Name: Home Repair Program

Responsible Entity: Blackstone Valley Community Action Program (BVCAP)

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity): City of Central Falls

State/Local Identifier: 4-32

Preparer: Wilder Arboleda

Certifying Officer Name and Title: James A. Diossa, Mayor

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity):

Consultant (if applicable):

Direct Comments to: Peter Friedrichs, Director, Planning and Economic Development

Project Location: Multiple Locations (To be determined)

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

Administered by BVCAP, the Home Repair Program will benefit low/moderate-income homeowners and tenants and improve the city's aging housing stock, allowing for renovations to reduce blight and protect the health and safety of residents. The city will offer exclusively forgivable loans to LMI homeowners and property-owners of dwellings with LMI tenants.

Level of Environmental Review Determination:

Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at §58.5: _____ Historic Properties _____

Funding Information

Grant Number	HUD Program	Funding Amount
14/04/34	CDBG	\$100,000

Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount:
\$100,000

Estimated Total Project Cost (HUD and non-HUD funds) [24 CFR 58.32(d)]:

TBD

Compliance with 24 CFR 50.4, 58.5, and 58.6 Laws and Authorities

Record below the compliance or conformance determinations for each statute, executive order, or regulation. Provide credible, traceable, and supportive source documentation for each authority. Where applicable, complete the necessary reviews or consultations and obtain or note applicable permits of approvals. Clearly note citations, dates/names/titles of contacts, and page references. Attach additional documentation as appropriate.

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §58.5 and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determinations
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 50.4 & 58.6		
Airport Hazards 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No portion of the City of Central Falls is located within 2,500 feet of the end of a civil runway or 15,000 feet of the end of a military runway. The closest runway to the site, the North Central airport runway, is located approximately 5.3 miles to the northwest of the project location. ("Exhibit A")
Coastal Barrier Resources Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No portion of the City of Central Falls is located within a Coastal Barrier Resource Area according to the Coastal Barrier Resource System Mapper accessed on September, 6, 2016. ("Exhibit B")

<p>Flood Insurance</p> <p>Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a]</p>	<p>Yes No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Further mitigation regarding flood insurance will not be required as the Home Repair Program will not administer loans to any properties located in the floodplain.</p>
<p>STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 50.4 & 58.5</p>		
<p>Clean Air</p> <p>Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93</p>	<p>Yes No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>The project will not include any new construction or conversion that may result in a significant increase in air pollutants.</p>
<p>Coastal Zone Management</p> <p>Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)</p>	<p>Yes No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>No portion of the City of Central Falls is located in a coastal zone under jurisdiction of the RI Coastal Resource Management Council (CRMC), as shown in "Exhibit B", nor is it an activity requiring consultation with CRMC.</p>
<p>Contamination and Toxic Substances</p> <p>24 CFR Part 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)</p>	<p>Yes No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>There are no properties on the NPL list in Central Falls ("Exhibit C"). There are no active solid waste or landfill sites in Cen Falls. No underground storage tank or to chemicals or radioactive materials are believed to be present on or at residential properties in the City of Central Falls.</p>
<p>Endangered Species</p> <p>Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402</p>	<p>Yes No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>The project is not located in an area of critical habitat for threatened, proposed endangered, or endangered species. ("Exhibit D")</p>
<p>Explosive and Flammable Hazards</p> <p>24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C</p>	<p>Yes No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>This project will not increase residential densities.</p>
<p>Farmlands Protection</p> <p>Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658</p>	<p>Yes No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>The City of Central Falls does not contain prime, unique or other important farmland. Based on the Soil NRCS SSURGO 2012 data layer viewed via the RIDEM online Environmental Resource Map on 5/15/15, the soil beneath the city is not best suited for agricultural use ("Exhibit E").</p>
<p>Floodplain Management</p>	<p>Yes No</p>	<p>No property located within the floodplain will receive assistance through the Home</p>

Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Repair Program. Subsequent Environmental Reviews for identified properties will reflect this.
Historic Preservation National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	An agreement signed with RIHPHC states that electrical work, plumbing work, installation of new furnace, painting in complementary color, caulking, and roof repair do not require further consultation. Any repairs not included in this list, or any properties located in Central Falls historic districts will require notifying RIHPHC. ("Exhibit F")
Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This activity will not result in an increase of residential densities and will not fund any new construction. There are no sole source aquifers in Central Falls
Sole Source Aquifers Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	There are no sole source aquifers in Central Falls. The nearest sole-source aquifer is the Hunt-Annaquatucker-Pettaquamscutt Aquifer located approximately 14 miles southwest of the City of Central Falls. ("Exhibit G")
Wetlands Protection Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The project is not located within a wetland area or buffer zone. ("Exhibit I")
Wild and Scenic Rivers Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	There are no wild and scenic rivers in Rhode Island. ("Exhibit H")

Field Inspection (Date and completed by): Will be completed for each individual property.

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

As properties are identified to receive assistance under this program, further review will be required to prove that no historical assets will be negatively impacted by the work conducted. Further, no properties located within the floodplain should benefit from this program and documentation on further environmental review should reflect this.

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [40 CFR 1505.2(c)]

Summarize below all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid, or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with

Summarize below all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid, or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements, and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.


Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure

Determination:


- This categorically excluded activity/project converts to **EXEMPT** per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license; **Funds may be committed and drawn down after certification of this part** for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR
- This categorically excluded activity/project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities listed at Section 58.5 requires formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, **publish NOI/RROF and obtain "Authority to Use Grant Funds"** (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down any funds; OR
- This project is not categorically excluded OR, if originally categorically excluded, is now subject to a full Environmental Assessment according to Part 58 Subpart E due to extraordinary circumstances (Section 58.35(c)).


Preparer Signature:  Date: 10/3/2016

Name/Title/Organization: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT MANAGER

Responsible Entity Agency Official Signature:  Date: 10.13.2016

Name/Title: James A. Dossa


Reviewed per F.S.A. 10/11/16

Leonard Morganis
Administration & Finance Officer

Approved as to form and correctness

 City Solicitor

This original, signed document and related supporting material must be retained on file by the Responsible Entity in an Environmental Review Record (ERR) for the activity/project (ref: 24 CFR Part 58.38) and in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s).

Exhibit

A




Airport Hazard
Exclusion Zone

Exhibit

B

JOHN H. CHAFEE COASTAL BARRIER RESOURCES SYSTEM RHODE ISLAND




Number of CBRS Units:	35
Number of System Units:	21
Number of Otherwise Protected Areas:	14
Total Acres:	12,857
Upland Acres:	2,958
Associated Aquatic Habitat Acres:	9,899
Shoreline Miles:	41



Map Date: December 18, 2014

Boundaries of the John H. Chafee Coastal Barrier Resources System (CBRS) shown on this map were transferred from the official CBRS maps for this area and are depicted on this map (in red) for informational purposes only. The official CBRS maps are enacted by Congress via the Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended, and are maintained by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The official CBRS maps are available for download at <http://www.fws.gov/CBRA/index.html>

Exhibit C



Exhibit

D

FEDERALLY LISTED ENDANGERED AND THREATENED SPECIES
IN RHODE ISLAND

COUNTY	SPECIES	FEDERAL STATUS	GENERAL LOCATION/HABITAT	TOWNS
Bristol	Northern Long-eared Bat	Proposed Endangered	Winter- Unknown, Summer - wide variety of forested habitats	Statewide
Kent	Northern Long-eared Bat	Proposed Endangered	Winter-Unknown, Summer - wide variety of forested habitats	Statewide
Newport	Piping Plover	Threatened	Coastal Beaches	Little Compton, Middletown, Tiverton
	Rosate Tern	Endangered	Coastal beaches, islands and the Atlantic Ocean	Newport
	Red knot ¹	Threatened	Coastal Beaches and Rocky Shores, sand and mud flats	Coastal towns
	Northern Long-eared Bat	Proposed Endangered	Winter- Unknown, Summer - wide variety of forested habitats	Statewide
Providence	Small whorled Pogonia	Threatened	Forests with somewhat poorly drained soils and/or a seasonally high water table	Glocester
	Northern Long-eared Bat	Proposed Endangered	Winter- Unknown, Summer - wide variety of forested habitats	Statewide
Washington	Rosate Tern	Endangered	Coastal beaches, islands and the Atlantic Ocean	Westerly
	Piping Plover	Threatened	Coastal Beaches	Narragansett, Charlestown, Westerly, New Shoreham and South Kingstown
	Red knot ¹	Threatened	Coastal Beaches and Rocky Shores, sand and mud flats	Coastal towns
	American burying beetle	Endangered	Upland grassy meadows	New Shoreham
	Sandplain Gerardia	Endangered	Sandplain grasslands	Charlestown, Exeter, Richmond
	Northern Long-eared Bat	Proposed Endangered	Winter - Unknown, Summer - wide variety of forested habitats	Statewide

¹Migratory only - scattered along the coast in small numbers











































-Eastern cougar - gray wolf and Northeastern beach tiger beetle are considered extirpated in Rhode Island

-There is no federally-designated Critical Habitat in Rhode Island

Exhibit

E

MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)		Spill Area	
Soils		Stony Spot	
Area of Interest (AOI)		Very Stony Spot	
Soil Map Unit Polygons		Wet Spot	
Soil Map Unit Lines		Other	
Soil Map Unit Points		Special Line Features	
Special Point Features		Water Features	
Blowout		Streams and Canals	
Borrow Pit		Transportation	
Clay Spot		Rails	
Closed Depression		Interstate Highways	
Gravel Pit		US Routes	
Gravelly Spot		Major Roads	
Landfill		Local Roads	
Lava Flow		Background	
Marsh or swamp		Aerial Photography	
Mine or Quarry			
Miscellaneous Water			
Perennial Water			
Rock Outcrop			
Saline Spot			
Sandy Spot			
Severely Eroded Spot			
Sinkhole			
Slide or Slip			
Sodic Spot			

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at scales ranging from 1:12,000 to 1:20,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
 Web Soil Survey URL: <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov>
 Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Bristol County, Massachusetts, Northern Part
 Survey Area Data: Version 7, Sep 19, 2014

Soil Survey Area: State of Rhode Island: Bristol, Kent, Newport, Providence, and Washington Counties
 Survey Area Data: Version 13, Sep 17, 2014

Your area of interest (AOI) includes more than one soil survey area. These survey areas may have been mapped at different scales, with a different land use in mind, at different times, or at different levels of detail. This may result in map unit symbols, soil properties, and interpretations that do not completely agree across soil survey area boundaries.

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Aug 21, 2013—Sep 7, 2013

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend

Bristol County, Massachusetts, Northern Part (MA602)			
Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
602	Urban land	45.2	3.1%
Subtotals for Soil Survey Area		45.2	3.1%
Totals for Area of Interest		1,474.0	100.0%

State of Rhode Island: Bristol, Kent, Newport, Providence, and Washington Counties (RI600)			
Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
CC	Canton-Urban land complex, very rocky	45.0	3.0%
FeA	Freetown muck, 0 to 1 percent slopes	54.6	3.7%
HkC	Hinckley gravelly sandy loam, rolling	25.6	1.7%
HkD	Hinckley gravelly sandy loam, hilly	51.7	3.5%
MU	Merrimac-Urban land complex	609.1	41.3%
Pg	Pits, gravel	13.1	0.9%
Pp	Poolatuck fine sandy loam	26.8	1.8%
Rp	Rock outcrop-Canton complex	6.7	0.5%
Ru	Rippowam fine sandy loam	9.0	0.6%
SwA	Swansea muck, 0 to 1 percent slopes	10.7	0.7%
UD	Udorthents-Urban land complex	96.5	6.5%
Ur	Urban land	349.6	23.7%
W	Water	130.5	8.9%
Subtotals for Soil Survey Area		1,428.8	96.9%
Totals for Area of Interest		1,474.0	100.0%

Exhibit

F

PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT
CITY OF CENTRAL FALLS, RHODE ISLAND
HUD SMALL CITIES COMMUNITY BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the City of **Central Falls** proposes to administer the Federal Fiscal Year 2014 Community Development Block Grant Program funded by the Department of Housing and Urban Development, and

WHEREAS, the City of **Central Falls** (City) has determined that the program may have an effect upon properties included in or eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places and has consulted with the Rhode Island State Historic Preservation Officer (RISHPO) pursuant to Section 800.3 of Protection of Historic Properties (36 CFR Part 800), the regulations implementing Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470s), and the Procedures for Registration and Protection of Historic Properties, regulations for implementing the Rhode Island Historic Preservation Act (RIGL 42-45); and,

WHEREAS, pursuant to 24 CFR Part 58, HUD has delegated compliance responsibility for the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) to the City for the CDBG Program,

NOW, THEREFORE, the City and the RISHPO agree the Program shall be administered in accordance with the following stipulations to satisfy the City's Section 106 responsibilities for all individual undertakings of the Program.

Stipulations

The City will ensure that the following measures are carried out.

1. Identifying Consulting Parties

A. In accordance with Section 800.2, the City will take appropriate steps to identify and contact consulting parties who should be involved in the Section 106 review, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or tribes that may attach religious or cultural significance to historic properties that may be affected by program activities.

B. The City shall inform the RISHPO in writing of all consulting parties participating in consultation to verify that the RISHPO has not received other requests for consulting party status.

2. Covered Program Activities

A. The City in consultation with the RISHPO shall apply the definition of undertaking set forth in 36 CFR Part 800 to program activities to determine whether such activities funded under the CDBG Program will be subject to the terms of this Agreement;

3. Identification and Evaluation of Historic Properties

A. The City will consult the current list of all properties, including historic districts and archaeological sites, that are included or eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places maintained

by the Rhode Island Historical Preservation and Heritage Commission, a copy of which will be provided to the City. If such a list is incomplete or if the City or other consulting parties raise a question about eligibility, or if the property is 50 years or older, the City will request assessments of historical significance from the RISHPO on a case-by-case basis.

B. If there is a dispute between the City and the RISHPO or any other consulting party regarding the National Register eligibility of a property, the City will obtain a formal determination of eligibility from the Keeper of the National Register. All properties included or eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places shall be treated in accordance with this agreement.

C. As the City contains currently unidentified archaeological sites which may be present in project areas, the City will consult with the RISHPO on individual projects that involve significant ground disturbance to determine whether archaeological investigations may be needed. If the RISHPO requests archaeological investigations, the City will submit the results of the investigations to the RISHPO so that the presence or absence of National Register eligible sites is determined prior to the RISHPO's evaluation of proposed treatment plans.

D. The City will maintain individual project files that include documentation that summarizes all findings regarding the National Register eligibility or ineligibility of properties involved in program activities.

4. Rehabilitation

Properties that are included or eligible for inclusion in the National Register shall be treated in the following manner:

A. Historic properties that are to be rehabilitated in projects covered under this agreement shall be treated in accordance with the Secretary of the Interior's "Standards for Rehabilitation" which will be applied in consultation with the RISHPO. The City shall submit final plans and specifications for rehabilitation projects to the RISHPO for review and approval before any work begins to ensure that the proposed treatment adheres to the Standards and will not adversely affect historic properties. The RISHPO will respond in writing within thirty days. Failure of the RISHPO to respond in thirty days will be considered approval of the City's plans.

B. In those instances where:

the rehabilitation standards cannot be met; the proposed treatment is not rehabilitation; demolition is the recommended treatment; the City chooses not to accept RISHPO- proposed modifications to plans; or the RISHPO has made a finding of adverse effect;

prior to taking any action, the City will consult with the RISHPO and other consulting parties, and initiate the procedures set forth at 36 CFR Part 800.5, including notification of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation of an adverse effect.

C. The City will maintain a record of individual projects carried out under this Agreement for a minimum of three years. Upon notification from the RISHPO, the City shall make project files available for review and arrange for site visits should the RISHPO request.

5. Activities Exempt from Further Review

Rehabilitation limited to the following activities does not require further consultation with the RISHPO.

- Electrical work (upgrading or in-kind replacement);
- Plumbing work (upgrading or in-kind replacement);
- Installation of a new furnace;
- Painting in a complimentary color;
- Caulking;
- Repair of roofing material when the repair is done in-kind to match existing materials and form.

6. Public Participation

A. The City will provide public notice of those program activities that have been identified as having the potential to affect historic properties, once the CDBG funds have been received. This notification shall be conducted in coordination with other local administrative reviews.

B. Should there be a public objection made to historic preservation issues related to specific program activities covered under the terms of this Agreement, the City will consult with the RISHPO and provide the public with a written response within 30 days following the receipt of the comment. If the City is unable to resolve the objection, the City and RISHPO will determine whether further review of the objection is warranted.

7. Termination

Any of the signatories of this Agreement may terminate the Agreement after providing 30 days notice to the other signatories. During the 30-day period the signatories will consult to determine whether the termination can be avoided. If termination cannot be avoided, the City shall comply with 36 CFR Section 800 Subpart B with regard to individual undertakings of the CDBG Program. Execution of this Agreement and the carrying out of its terms is evidence that the City has satisfied its Section 106 responsibilities for individual undertakings of the program.

CITY OF CENTRAL FALLS, RHODE ISLAND

By: James A Diossa, Mayor

Date: August 7, 2014

RHODE ISLAND DEPUTY STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER

By: [Signature]

Date: 9/3/2014


Reviewed per the F.S.A.
[Signature] 8/6/14

APPROVED TO FORM

Exhibit

G

US EPA Designated Sole Source Aquifers in Rhode Island



Exhibit

H



HOME | NATIONAL SYSTEM | MANAGEMENT | RESOURCES | PUBLICATIONS | CONTACT US | KID'S SITE

RHODE ISLAND

Rhode Island has approximately 1,392 miles of river, but no designated wild & scenic rivers.

Rhode Island does not have any designated rivers.

Choose A State

Choose A River

Still, white winters, subtle shades of spring green, lazy summer days, autumns lit with color, rivers in the Northeast showcase the seasons.

MANAGEMENT GUIDANCE | KID'S SITE | CONTACT US | PUBLICATIONS | NATIONAL SYSTEM | HOME



NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM




- HOME
- NATIONAL SYSTEM
- MANAGEMENT
- RESOURCES
- PUBLICATIONS
- CONTACT US
- KID'S SITE

RHODE ISLAND

Rhode Island has approximately 1,392 miles of river, but no designated wild & scenic rivers.

Rhode Island does not have any designated rivers.



Choose A State ▾ Go

Choose A River ▾ Go

Still, white winters, subtle shades of spring green, lazy summer days, autumns lit with color, rivers in the Northeast showcase the seasons.



RE SEAL

RE NAME AND ADDRESS

Compliance Checklist for 24 CFR §58.6, Other Requirements

Complete for all projects, including Exempt (§58.34), Categorically Excluded Subject to §58.5 [§58.35(a)], Categorically Excluded Not Subject to §58.5[§58.35(b)], and Projects Requiring Environmental Assessments (§58.36)

Project Name: Home Repair Program

ERR FILE #

1. §58.6(a) and (b) Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, as amended; National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994

- a. Does the project involve new construction, major rehabilitation, minor rehabilitation, improvements, acquisition, management, new loans, loan refinancing or mortgage insurance?
 Yes No
If No, compliance with this section is complete.
If Yes, continue.
- b. Is the project located in a FEMA identified Special Flood Hazard Area?
 Yes No
If No, compliance with this section is complete.
If Yes, continue.
- c. Is the community participating in the National Flood Insurance Program (or has less than one year passed since FEMA notification of Special Flood Hazards)?
 Yes No
If Yes, Flood Insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program must be obtained. If HUD assistance is provided as a grant, insurance must be maintained for the economic life of the project and in the amount of the total project cost (or up to the maximum allowable coverage, whichever is less). If HUD assistance is provided as a loan, insurance must be maintained for the term of the loan and in the amount of the loan (or up to maximum allowable coverage, whichever is less). A copy of the flood insurance policy declaration must be kept on file in the ERR.
If No, Federal assistance may not be used in the Special Flood Hazards Area.

Cite and attach source documentation: (Documentation should include a FEMA Flood Map showing project location in reference to flood zone designation. If flood map is not available, use best available information.)

For additional information see:

FEMA Map Service Center: <http://www.store.msc.fema.gov>

NFIP Community Status Book: www.fema.gov/fema/csb.shtm

2. §58.6(c) Coastal Barrier Improvement Act, as amended by the Coastal Barriers Improvement Act of 1990 (16 U.S.C. 3501)

- a. Does the project involve new construction, conversion of land uses, major rehabilitation of existing structure, or acquisition of undeveloped land?

Yes No

If No, compliance with this section is complete.

If Yes, continue below.

- b. Is the project located in a coastal barrier resource area?

Yes No

If No, compliance with this section is complete.

If Yes, Federal assistance may not be used in such an area.

Cite and attach source documentation: (Documentation should include map (e.g. Google Earth) noting project distance from Coastal Barrier Resources.)

For more information see:

CBRS maps on US FWS and FEMA websites: <http://www.FWS.gov/CBRA/>
<http://www.FWS.gov/CBRA/Maps/index.html>

3. §58.6(d) Runway Clear Zones and Clear Zones [24 CFR §51.303(a) (3)]

- a. Does the project involve the sale or purchase of existing property?

Yes No

If No, compliance with this section is complete.

If yes, continue below.

- b. Is the project located within 2,500 feet of the end of a civil airport runway (Civil Airport's Runway Clear Zone) or within 15,000 feet of the end of a military runway (Military Airfield's Clear Zone)?

Yes No

If No, compliance with this section is complete.

If Yes, Notice must be provided to buyer. The notice must advise the buyer that the property is in a Runway Clear Zone or Clear Zone, what the implications of such a location are, and that there is a possibility that the property may, at a later date, be acquired by the airport operator. The buyer must sign a statement acknowledging receipt of this information, and a copy of the signed notice must be maintained in this ERR.

Cite and attach source document (Map indicating project site in proximity to end of runway):

For more information see:

Airport Information: <http://www.airnav.com/airports/>


HUD Airport Hazards Q&A:

http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/comm_planning/environment/review/qa/airport

AUTHORIZED RESPONSIBLE ENTITY OFFICIAL:

Signature

Date



James A. Diossa

10.13.2016

Mayor

Reviewed by M.P.S.A. (printed)

Title (printed)


Leonard Morganis
Administration & Finance Officer

Approved as to form and correctness

